Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Powder Technology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/powtec

Hydrothermal mass production of $MgBO_2(OH)$ nanowhiskers and subsequent thermal conversion to $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods for biaxially oriented polypropylene resins reinforcement

Wancheng Zhu^{a,b,*}, Guangdong Li^a, Qiang Zhang^a, Lan Xiang^{a,*}, Shenlin Zhu^a

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Shandong 273165, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 February 2010 Received in revised form 3 May 2010 Accepted 14 May 2010 Available online 21 May 2010

Keywords: Magnesium borates Hydrothermal Calcination Nanomaterials Mass production

ABSTRACT

Mass production of one-dimensional (1D) nanomaterials has emerged as one of the most significant challenges in powder technology. In this contribution, MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers were hydrothermally produced at a kilogram scale in a 150 L stainless steel autoclave at 200 °C for 12.0 h by using MgCl₂·6H₂O, H₃BO₃ and NaOH as the raw materials. The subsequent thermal conversion of the MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers at 700 °C for 6 h led to 3.75 kg of high crystallinity monoclinic Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods, with a length of 0.47–1.3 µm, a diameter of 55–160 nm, and an aspect ratio of 3–15. After the nanorods have been surface modified with the silane coupling agent KH-550, the reinforcing and toughening effects of the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods on the biaxially oriented polypropylene resins (BOPP-D1) were evaluated. The filling of the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods into the resins resulted in the increase in the tensile strength, the impact strength, and the melt flow index of the BOPP-D1 composites. The appropriate ratio of coupling agent to fillers (Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods) and the ratio of fillers to resins was *ca*. 10 wt.%. The present mass production of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers and Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods is believed to be helpful for enlarging and propelling the applications of the 1D magnesium borate nanostructures in the near future.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Originating from the small size, cylindrical structure, and high aspect ratio, one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures such as nanotubes, nanowires, nanorods, and nanobelts have been one of the focuses of intensive research. For example, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), CaCO₃, ZnO, TiO₂ and Mg(OH)₂ have been successfully applied to composite materials in plastic, rubber and metal matrixes [1,2], in catalysis [3], in energy conversion and storage [4,5], and in many other areas. 1D nanostructured magnesium borates, including MgB₄O₇ nanowires [6], Mg₃B₂O₆ nanotubes [7] and nanobelts [8], Mg₂B₂O₅ nanowires [9,10], nanorods [11], and whiskers [12], etc., have been paid much attention in recent years for their potential usages as reinforcements in the electronic ceramics [6], wide band gap semiconductors [9], antiwear additives [10], and plastics or aluminum/magnesium matrix alloys [12–14]. The demand for such raw materials is rising explosively. Mass production of nanomaterials with a full size and morphology control has emerged as one of the most significant challenges in modern chemical engineering.

E-mail addresses: zhuwancheng@tsinghua.org.cn (W. Zhu), xianglan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (L. Xiang).

Up to now, powder technology plays a key role in mass production of various 1D nanomaterials [15-18]. For example, various kinds of CNTs, such as single walled [15], few walled [19], multi-walled [15,20], and aligned CNTs [21,22], have been successfully mass produced in fluidized bed: nanoparticles such as CaCO₃ were mass produced within the rotating packed bed by the high gravity reactive precipitation technology [17,23,24]. ZnO nanotetrapods [25] and nanotripods [26] via the flowing gas phase reaction method or chemical vapor deposition, etc., have also been recently realized or effectively endeavored. Among various synthetic strategies for 1D micro-/nanostructured materials, hydrothermal technology has been recognized as a thriving approach for 1D nanostructures in the last decade, owing to its advantages over other conventional processes such as energy saving, better control of nucleation and shape, and lower temperature of operation, etc. [27–30]. In our previous work, uniform pore-free high crystallinity Mg₂B₂O₅ nanowhiskers with twin crystal structures have been successfully obtained via a flux-assisted thermal conversion route at a relatively low temperature as 650-700 °C [31], on the basis of the hydrothermal synthesis of the uniform MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers [32]. However, the previous work could only get ca. 1 g of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers in each hydrothermal synthesis. Absolutely, a larger quantity of the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanowhisker samples was inevitably needed for further application exploration,



^{*} Corresponding authors. Department of Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Shandong 273165, China. Fax: +86 10 6277 2051.

^{0032-5910/\$ -} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2010.05.017

whereas it was few reported for mass production of the nanomaterials by the hydrothermal route to date.

In this contribution, we report the mass production of $MgBO_2(OH)$ nanowhiskers and $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods by a hydrothermal-calcination route, in which the $MgBO_2(OH)$ nanowhiskers were hydrothermally synthesized by using a 150 L stainless steel autoclave and the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods were obtained by the subsequent thermal conversion. The reinforcing and toughening effects of the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods on the biaxially oriented polypropylene (BOPP-D1) resins were also investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Mass production of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers and Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods

A stainless steel autoclave with the capacity of 150 L was used for the hydrothermal mass production. All of the reagents were purchased in the analytical grade without further purification. As shown in Fig. 1, 32.56 L of MgCl₂ (2.0 mol L^{-1}) was mixed with 32.56 L of H_3BO_3 (3.0 mol L⁻¹) firstly, the mixture was then supplied with deionized (DI) water and subsequently dropwise added 32.56 L of NaOH (4.0 mol L^{-1}) for room temperature coprecipitation by using a plastic pail with specially decorated four ball valves at the bottom under vigorous mechanical stirring (ca. 180 rpm), leading to 100 L of hydrothermal precursor slurry. The precursor slurry was then transferred into a 150 L stainless steel autoclave equipped with a bursting disc and a precise temperature control system, under mechanical stirring (ca. 150 rpm). The autoclave was sealed and heated to 200 °C (40 °C lower than that used for the previous hydrothermal gram-scale synthesis [32], so as to reduce the possible corrosion of chlorine ions) and kept at the isothermal condition for 12.0 h. After the hydrothermal treatment, the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature naturally. The slurry containing the hydrothermal product was collected from the outlet located at the bottom of the autoclave, and then transferred into a tripod centrifuge in three batches for further washing and purification of the hydrothermal product. The wet powder was finally collected and moved to a box-type oven for drying at 105–110 °C for 12.0 h, resulting kilogram-scale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers. The MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers were ultimately transferred into a muffle furnace and calcined in batches for thermal conversion to form Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods at 700 °C for 6.0 h.



Fig. 1. Sketch map of the kilogram-scale synthetic route to $MgBO_2(OH)$ nanowhiskers and $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods.

For comparison, a gram-scale synthetic route to MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers with the same concentration of the reactants as that utilized in the mass production was performed as follows: 14 mL of MgCl₂ (2.0 mol L⁻¹) was mixed with 14 mL of H₃BO₃ (3.0 mol L⁻¹) firstly, the mixture was then supplied with DI water, and subsequently dropwise added 14 mL of NaOH (4.0 mol L⁻¹) under vigorous magnetic stirring, leading to 43 mL of hydrothermal precursor slurry. The precursor slurry was then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (capacity: 70 mL) at 200 °C for 12.0 h. After the hydrothermal treatment, the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature naturally. The resultant white precipitate was washed with distilled water, filtered and dried at 105 °C for 12.0 h, and finally collected for further characterization.

2.2. Reinforcement application evaluation

BOPP-D1 type resins (PetroChina Daging Petrochemical Company, China) were selected as the matrix polymers to evaluate the reinforcement performance of the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods. The nanorods were mixed with the BOPP-D1 resins directly by a high speed mixer (GH-10, Beijing Int. Plastics Machinery General Factory, China), after being dropwisely fed by some amount of titanate coupling agent (NDZ-101, Nanjing Shuguang Chemical Co., Ltd., China) or silane coupling agent y-Aminopropyl triethoxysilane (KH-550, Gaizhou Hengda Welfare Chemical Factory, China) for surface modification. The weight ratio of the coupling agent to fillers and also that of the fillers to resins were adjusted within the range of 0-7.3% and 3.0-20%, respectively. The surface modified fillers (Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods) were fed to a torque rheocord (RC 90, HAAKE, Germany) for pellet fabrication, and then supplied to an injection molding machine (TTI-140FX, Donghua Machinery Ltd., China) for two types of samples, which were employed to a universal materials testing machine (Instron 4467, Instron, England) for tensile strength measurement and to an impact specimen notcher (Tinius Oisen Model 899, Horsham, USA) and pendulum impact tester (API, Dynisco, USA) for impact strength measurement, respectively.

2.3. Characterization

The structure of the product was identified by the X-ray powder diffractometer (XRD, D8-Advance, Bruker, Germany) using a Cu K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å), a fixed power source (40.0 kV, 40.0 mA) and an aligned silicon detector. The morphology, microstructure, and composition of the products were examined by the field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM 7401F, JEOL, Japan) operated at an accelerating voltage of 1.0 kV, and a high resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2010, JEOL, Japan) performed at an accelerating voltage of 120.0 kV. The size distribution of the nanowhiskers and nanorods was estimated by direct measuring about 200 particles from the typical SEM images.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Kilogram-scale hydrothermal-calcination synthesis

In order to efficiently produce MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers by the hydrothermal synthesis and Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods by the subsequent calcination in the present kilogram-scale synthesis, a relatively high solid concentration (defined as the ratio of the target product (kg) to the overall feeding volume (L)) as 0.0548 kg/L was used, as twice as that employed in the previous hydrothermal procedure for the MgBO₂ (OH) nanowhiskers (i.e. gram scale) [32]. Thus, the expected product in the present kilogram-scale synthesis was scaled up as *ca.* 4650 times as those acquired in the gram-scale hydrothermal synthesis [32], taking into consideration the variation of the overall feeding volume as well as the solid concentration between the above two

synthetic routes. Finally, 3.75 kg of $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods containing partial byproduct NaCl were obtained. It was worth noting that however, a relatively mild hydrothermal synthesis at 200 °C for 12.0 h was employed to ensure the operation security, as well as to reduce the potential corrosion of the reaction induced by the high concentration of Cl⁻ in the 150 L stainless steel autoclave.

3.2. Characterization of the $MgBO_2(OH)$ nanowhiskers and $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods

The structure (Fig. $2a_1-a_2$) and morphology (Fig. 2b, c_1-c_3) of the kilogram-scale (Fig. $2a_1$, b, c_1-c_2) and gram-scale (Fig. $2a_2$, c_3) hydrothermal products grown with the same operation parameters (including the concentration of the reactants, the hydrothermal temperature, and the growth time) were illustrated in Fig. 2. The asobtained product derived from the kilogram-scale hydrothermal synthesis was dominantly consisted of monoclinic MgBO₂(OH) phase (PDF No.39-1370), in accordance with that obtained by the gram-scale synthesis. The room temperature coprecipitation and hydrothermal process were described as in Eq. (1) and (2), respectively, as follows:

$$7MgCl_2 + 4H_3BO_3 + 14NaOH \rightarrow Mg_7B_4O_{13} \cdot 7H_2O(s) + 14NaCl \qquad (1$$

+6H₂O

$$Mg_{7}B_{4}O_{13} \cdot 7H_{2}O(s) + 3H_{3}BO_{3} \rightarrow 7MgBO_{2}(OH)(s) + 8H_{2}O$$
⁽²⁾

Although the wet powder of the hydrothermal product (Fig. 2b) had been washed for several times in the centrifuge, there still existed some residual byproduct NaCl (Fig. 2a₁) originating from the room temperature coprecipitation (Eq. (1)), as well as proved by the block particles denoted by the red dotted circle in Fig. 2c₁. The difficulty for NaCl washing and elimination was largely due to the relatively high solid concentration, which led to the embedment and agglomeration of the MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers with NaCl particles. The kilogram-

(a)

scale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers exhibited good crystallinity (Fig. $2a_1$) with a length of 0.3–1.5 µm and an aspect ratio of 10–30 (Fig. $2c_1-c_2$). Meanwhile, the general head to head attached growth phenomena of the MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers (Fig. $2c_2$) were quite similar to those existed for the MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers grown by the successive effect of rolling up, oriented attachment, and Ostwald ripening mechanisms [33]. Comparatively, the gram-scale hydrothermal synthesis performed at the same hydrothermal conditions resulted in a pure phase of monoclinic MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers (Fig. $2a_2$) with a higher crystallinity, a longer length, a higher aspect ratio and a smoother surface (Fig. $2c_3$). In other words, the mass produced nanowhiskers were with relatively low aspect ratio (Fig. 2c₁). This was probably due to the scale-up effect, which might lead to the worsening of the mass and heat transfer of the reaction system to some extent and further influenced the 1D growth of the nanowhiskers. However, this issue could be improved and the kilogram-scale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂ (OH) nanowhiskers with longer length and higher aspect ratio could be expected by future optimization of the parameters for the hydrothermal mass production.

Calcination of the kilogram-scale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers containing residual byproduct NaCl at 700 °C for 6.0 h brought high crystallinity monoclinic Mg₂B₂O₅ phase (PDF No. 86-0531) (Fig. 3a) as follows:

$$2MgBO_2(OH)(s) \rightarrow Mg_2B_2O_5(s) + H_2O.$$
(3)

Macroscopically, the dry of soft paste containing kilogram-scale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers (Fig. 2b) leading to hard blocks containing nanowhiskers owing to the elimination of the adsorbed water. The subsequent thermal conversion of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers resulted in snowwhite fluffy blocks (Fig. 3b) containing Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods (Fig. 3c). The statistic data showed that the kilogram-scale calcined Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods had a length of 0.47–1.3 μ m, a diameter of 55–160 nm, and an aspect ratio of 3–15. The morphology of the as-calcined Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods was quite



MgBO₂(OH)

(b)

Fig. 2. Composition and morphology of the products derived from the kilogram-scale (a_1, b, c_1-c_2) and gram-scale (a_2, c_3) hydrothermal synthesis performed at 200 °C for 12.0 h. (a) XRD pattern; (b) digital microscopy (wet powder); (c_1, c_3) SEM image; (c_2) TEM image.



Fig. 3. Composition (a) and morphology (b-c) of the calcined product derived from the kilogram-scale hydrothermal synthesis: (a) XRD pattern; (b) Digital microscopy; (c) SEM image.

analogous to that acquired from the flux-assisted thermal conversion route [31].

Fig. 4 illustrates the microstructure of the kilogram-scale synthesized Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods. It can be seen that, the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods had a uniform 1D morphology (Fig. 4a), without defects such as pores and cavities (Fig. 4a-b). This is quite different from the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanowhiskers derived from the thermal conversion of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers without the aid of flux agent [34]. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 4c) and the high resolution TEM image (Fig. 4d) corresponding to the various sections of the same individual Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorod indicated that the kilogram-scale synthesized Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods were of high crystallinity, in accordance with the XRD results (Fig. 3a). Meanwhile, three interplanar spacings (0.227, 0.437, and 0.411 nm) were detected from the explicit lattice fringes (Fig. 4d), which were quite similar to the standard values for (113), (200) (202) planes of monoclinic Mg₂B₂O₅ (0.228, 0.446, and 0.408 nm), respectively. Moreover, the axial direction of the nanorod was parallel to both of the (200) and (202) planes, indicating the preferential growth of the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods along the b axis, in well agreement with the previous results [31] and also the growth habit of the natural suanite $(Mg_2B_2O_5)$ [35].

3.3. Reinforcement performance of Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods in BOPP-D1 resins

BOPP-D1 resins were widely used as the novel transparent wrappers for food, candies, cigarette, tea, syrup, milk, and dry goods, etc., due to the distinct advantages such as light weight, innocuity, dampproof, high mechanical intensity, size stability, and good printing property [36]. To explore advanced BOPP-D1 resin application in special products with a requirement, the mechanical property of BOPP-D1 resins should be improved. In order to realize the improvement of the intensity and toughness of the BOPP-D1 resins by filling the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods, both the effect of the coupling agent on the surface of the nanorods and the compatibility between the surface

modified nanorods and the matrix materials were investigated. Table 1 shows the effect of coupling agents on the reinforcement of performance of kilogram-scale synthesized Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods in BOPP-D1 resins, with the weight ratio of the fillers (surface modified nanorods) to the matrix resins kept as 10%. Compared with the blank BOPP-D1 resins (i.e. series "zh-1"), filling the silane coupling agent KH-550 modified Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods to BOPP-D1 resins promoted the tensile strength and impact strength from 28.4 to 29.6 MPa, and 4.09 to 4.95 MPa, respectively, indicating the good compatibility between the silane coupling agent modified Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods and the matrix BOPP-D1 resins. In contrast, filling the titanate coupling agent NZD-101 modified Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods to BOPP-D1 resins led to the decrease in the tensile strength from 28.4 to 27.4 MPa on the one hand and the increase in the impact strength from 4.09 to 4.93 MPa on the other hand. Moreover, the introduction of the titanate coupling agent did not favor the improvement of the structure uniformity of the matrix BOPP-D1 resins. In addition, filling either of the two coupling agents modified Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods could improve the melt flow index of the BOPP-D1 resins, indicating the improvement of the molding flow property of the resins accordingly. Based on the above analysis, silane coupling agent was preferred to titanate coupling agent for modifying the Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods to reinforce and toughen BOPP-D1 resins in the improvement of the tensile strength, impact strength, structure uniformity, and also molding flow property.

With the silane KH-550 as the selected coupling agent, the changes of the reinforcing performance of the coupling agent modified kilogram-scale synthesized $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods in BOPP-D1 resins with the weight ratio of the coupling agent to fillers, as well as that of the fillers to resins were investigated (Table 2). It can be seen that, the silane coupling agent KH-550 modified nanorods could effectively promote both the tensile strength and impact strength. Especially, under the ratio situations of the series "zh-0" and "zh-13", the tensile strength and impact strength of the resins were improved by 7.0–7.4% and 21.2–25.2%, respectively. When the ratio of coupling agent to



Fig. 4. TEM images (a-b), SAED pattern (c) and high resolution TEM image (d) of the calcined product derived from the kilogram-scale hydrothermal synthesis.

fillers was too low, the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods were partially modified. In that case, the provided stress concentration points for effective stress transfer were very limited, and thus the reinforcement was not obvious. When the ratio of coupling agent to fillers was too high, the filled particles would be readily attached to each other, resulting in severe agglomeration. Such agglomeration would worsen the dispersion of the fillers in the matrix resins and further degrade the mechanical properties of the composites. Thus, the ratio of coupling agent to fillers was set within the range of 0.6–1.2 wt.%. Besides, data in Table 1 and Table 2 showed that filling the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods modified with silane coupling agent KH-550 could reinforce and also toughen the matrix BOPP-D1 resins, and the increase in the impact strength was higher than that in the tensile strength.

According to the data in Tables 1 and 2, variations of the tensile strength and impact strength of the BOPP-D1 resins with the ratio of fillers (surface modified nanorods) to resins under typical ratio of the silane coupling agent KH-550 to fillers are shown in Fig. 5. Under the circumstances that the ratio of the coupling agent to fillers was kept as 0.6 wt.% (Fig. 5a) and 1.2 wt.% (Fig. 5b), both the tensile strength and impact strength tended to increase first and then decrease with the increase in the ratio of fillers to resins from 3.0 wt.% to 20.0 wt.%. Meanwhile, for the aforementioned two cases of the ratio of coupling

Table 1

Ef	fect of	coupling agent	on the reinforcing i	performance of kilog	gram-scale sy	vnthesized Mg	B2O5	nanorods in	BOPP-D1 resid	ns.
						,	2-2-3			

Series	Coupling agent	Coupling agent/fillers (wt.%)	Fillers/resins (wt.%)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Impact strength (kJ/m ²)	Melt flow index (g/10 min)
zh-1ª				28.4	4.09	3.0
zh-2	Silane KH-550	1.2	10	29.6	4.95	3.6
zh-3		1.8	10	28.8	4.44	3.3
zh-4		3.5	10	28.7	5.07	2.8
zh-5	Titanate NZD101	0.9	10	28.2	4.80	2.9
zh-6		1.9	10	27.9	4.29	3.3
zh-7		2.8	10	27.4	4.93	3.5
zh-8		7.3	10	27.6	4.89	3.3
3						

^a The sample is the blank BOPP-D1 resins.

Table 2

Reinforcing performance of silane coupling agent modified kilogram-scale synthesized $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods in BOPP-D1 resins.

Series	Coupling agent/fillers (wt.%)	Fillers/resins (wt.%)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Impact strength (kJ/m ²)
zh-0	0.6	10	30.4	4.96
zh-9	1.2	3	30.1	4.53
zh-10	1.2	5	30.2	4.55
zh-11	1.2	15	30.1	4.82
zh-12	1.2	20	28.8	4.77
zh-13	0.6	3	30.5	5.12
zh-14	0.6	5	30.2	4.09
zh-15	0.6	15	29.9	4.60
zh-16	0.6	20	29.3	4.31
zh-17	5.4	10	29.3	4.96
zh-18	0	10	30.0	4.44

agent to fillers, the appropriate ratio of fillers to resins located within the range of 8–15 wt.% and the optimal ratio of fillers to resins was *ca.* 10 wt.%. This was much lower than the requisite ratio 25 wt.% for $Mg_2B_2O_5$ whiskers to reinforce Nylon-6 [37].

In addition, the kilogram-scale hydrothermal synthesis confirmed from another point of view that, a low initial reactant concentration, a high hydrothermal temperature, and a long hydrothermal time would favor the preferential growth of the anisotropic crystals with a higher



Fig. 5. Variation of the tensile strength and impact strength of the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods reinforced BOPP-D1 resins with the ratio of fillers to resins. Percentage of the silane coupling agent in fillers: (a) 0.6 wt.%; (b) 1.2 wt.%.

aspect ratio [33,38]. Besides, the room temperature coprecipitation byproduct NaCl could serve as the flux agent necessary for the thermal conversion of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers to pore-free high crystallinity $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods [31]. It was noted that however, the $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods used in the reinforcement contained some residual NaCl, which failed to be washed out thoroughly after the calcination largely owing to the high solid concentration employed in the hydrothermal mass production. The potential negative effect of NaCl on the reinforcement performance was unclear at present, which still needed further investigation. Moreover, a more obvious reinforcing and toughening performance of the BOPP-D1 resins would be worth expecting if the kilogram scale synthesized pure phase of Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods have been well crushed, thoroughly washed and finally dried before being filled into the matrix materials, on the basis of a previous separate and more systematic surface modification study. In particular, with the hydrothermal process optimized so as to solve the corrosion problem of Cl⁻, the pilot test could be successfully performed under the previous optimal gram-scale hydrothermal conditions [32], then longer and higher aspect ratio Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods even nanowhiskers would be acquired. In that case, more significant improvement of the reinforcing and toughening performance of the BOPP-D1 resins filled by Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods would be realized.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers and Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods were hydrothermally synthesized in a kilogram scale within a 150 L stainless steel autoclave followed by thermal conversion, by using MgCl₂·6H₂O, H₃BO₃ and NaOH as the raw materials. The kilogramscale hydrothermal synthesis was performed at 200 °C for 12.0 h, leading to MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers bearing a strength of 0.3–1.5 µm and an aspect ratio of 10-30. The thermal conversion of the kilogramscale hydrothermally synthesized MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers at 700 °C for 6.0 h resulted in 3.75 kg of high crystallinity monoclinic $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods, with a length of 0.47–1.3 µm, a diameter of 55– 160 nm, an aspect ratio of 3–15, and a preferential growth along the *b* axis. The reinforcement application evaluation showed that, filling the silane coupling agent KH-550 modified Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods into the BOPP-D1 resins led to the increase in the tensile strength, impact strength and also melt flow index of the composites. The appropriate ratio of coupling agent to fillers (surface modified nanorods) and ratio of fillers to resins were determined within the range of 0.6–1.2 wt.% and 8–15 wt.%, respectively, and the optimal ratio of fillers to resins was *ca.* 10 wt.%. When the ratio of coupling agent to fillers was kept as 0.6 wt.%, the tensile strength and impact strength were increased by 7% and 21%, respectively. While the ratio of coupling agent to fillers was kept as 1.2 wt.%, the tensile strength and impact strength were increased by 4% and 21%, respectively. The present mass production of MgBO₂(OH) nanowhiskers and Mg₂B₂O₅ nanorods is believed to be helpful for enlarging and propelling the great potential applications of the 1D magnesium borate nanostructures in the near future. Moreover, it provided further deep understanding for hydrothermal mass production of other 1D nanomaterials. To significantly push the scale up of hydrothermal process, some aspects should be paid enough attention in future research, such as operating safety, corrosion of the reactant system, scale-up effect, and also product purification, etc.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, No. 50874066) and State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering (No. SKL-ChE-09A02). The authors thank Academe of PetroChina Daqing Petrochemical Company, China, for the support of application evaluation of $Mg_2B_2O_5$ nanorods, and also appreciate the

reviewers for the constructive suggestions on the great improvement of the work.

- References
- [1] J.Q. Jiao, X. Liu, W. Gao, C.W. Wang, H.J. Feng, X.L. Zhao, L.P. Chen, CrystEngComm 11 (2009) 1886-1891.
- M.T. Byrne, Y.K. Gun'ko, Adv Mater 22 (2010) 1672-1688.
- [3] D.S. Su, ChemSusChem 3 (2010) 169-180.
- [4] C. Liu, F. Li, L.P. Ma, H.M. Cheng, Adv Mater 22 (2010) E28–E62.
- [5] D.S. Su, R. Schlogl, ChemSusChem 3 (2010) 136-168.
- [6] R.Z. Ma, Y. Bando, T. Sato, Appl Phys Lett 81 (2002) 3467-3469.
- [7] R.Z. Ma, Y. Bando, D. Golberg, T. Sato, Angew Chem Int Ed 42 (2003) 1836–1838.
- [8] J. Zhang, Y.M. Zhao, Acta Phys-Chim Sin 22 (2006) 110-113.
- [9] Y. Li, Z.Y. Fan, J.G. Lu, R.P.H. Chang, Chem Mater 16 (2004) 2512–2514.
- [10] Y. Zeng, H.B. Yang, W.Y. Fu, L. Qiao, L.X. Chang, J.J. Chen, H.Y. Zhu, M.H. Li, G.T. Zou, Mater Res Bull 43 (2008) 2239-2247.
- [11] E.M. Elssfah, A. Elsanousi, J. Zhang, H.S. Song, C.C. Tang, Mater Lett 61 (2007) 4358-4361.
- [12] K. Sakane, T. Kitamura, H. Wada, M. Suzue, Adv Powder Technol 3 (1992) 39-46.
- [13] P.L. Wu, Z. Tian, L.D. Wang, W.D. Fei, Thermochim Acta 455 (2007) 7-10.
- [14] S.H. Chen, P.P. Jin, G. Schumacher, N. Wanderka, Compos Sci Technol 70 (2010) 123-129.
- [15] F. Wei, Q. Zhang, W.Z. Qian, H. Yu, Y. Wang, G.H. Luo, G.H. Xu, D.Z. Wang, Powder Technol 183 (2008) 10-20.
- [16] Q.L. Wu, L. Xiang, Y. Jin, Powder Technol 165 (2006) 100–104.
- [17] J.F. Chen, L. Shao, J Chem Eng Jpn 40 (2007) 896-904.

- [18] D.F. Xue, X.X. Yan, L. Wang, Powder Technol 191 (2009) 98-106.
- [19] Q. Zhang, H. Yu, Y. Liu, W.Z. Qian, Y. Wang, G.H. Luo, F. Wei, Nano 3 (2008) 45–50.
- Y. Wang, F. Wei, G.H. Luo, H. Yu, G.S. Gu, Chem Phys Lett 364 (2002) 568-572. [20]
- [21] Q. Zhang, M.Q. Zhao, J.Q. Huang, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, W.Z. Qian, F. Wei, Carbon 47 (2009) 2600-2610.
- [22] Q. Zhang, M.Q. Zhao, J.Q. Huang, J.Q. Nie, F. Wei, Carbon 48 (2010) 1196-1209.
- [23] W.C. Zhu, J.F. Chen, Y.H. Wang, Chinese J Chem Phys 17 (2004) 175–178.
 [24] M. Wang, H.K. Zou, L. Shao, J.F. Chen, Powder Technol 142 (2004) 166–174.
- [25] Y.G. Wang, M. Sakurai, M. Aono, Nanotechnology 19 (2008) 245610.
- [26] M.Y. Choi, H.K. Park, M.J. Jin, D.H. Yoon, S.W. Kim, J Cryst Growth 311 (2009) 504-507
 - X. Wang, Q. Peng, Y.D. Li, Acc Chem Res 40 (2007) 635-643. [27]

 - [28] M. Yoshimura, K. Byrappa, J Mater Sci 43 (2008) 2085-2103. C.L. Yan, L.J. Zou, J.S. Xu, J.S. Wu, F. Liu, C. Luo, D.F. Xue, Powder Technol 183 (2008) [29]
 - 2-9 [30] C.X. Zhao, Y.X. Yang, W. Chen, H.T. Wang, D.Y. Zhao, P.A. Webley, CrystEngComm 11 (2009) 739–742.
 - [31] W.C. Zhu, Q. Zhang, L. Xiang, F. Wei, X.T. Sun, X.L. Piao, S.L. Zhu, Cryst Growth Des 8 (2008) 2938-2945.
 - W.C. Zhu, L. Xiang, T.B. He, S.L. Zhu, Chem Lett 35 (2006) 1158-1159. [32]
 - [33] W.C. Zhu, S.L. Zhu, L. Xiang, CrystEngComm 11 (2009) 1910-1919.
 - W.C. Zhu, L. Xiang, Q. Zhang, X.Y. Zhang, L. Hu, S.L. Zhu, J Cryst Growth 310 (2008) [34] 4262-4267
 - [35] X.D. Xie, M.P. Zheng, L.B. Liu, Borates Minerals. Beijing, Science Press, 1965 in Chinese.
 - Y.J. Lin, P. Dias, H.Y. Chen, A. Hiltner, E. Baer, Polymer 49 (2008) 2578-2586. [36]
 - [37] H. Li, S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, G. Wang, New Chem Mater 9 (2001) 16-18 in Chinese.
 - [38] W.C. Zhu, X.Y. Zhang, L. Xiang, S.L. Zhu, Nanoscale Res Lett 4 (2009) 724-731.